

Contributions to the History of Concepts Ethics Statement

PUBLICATION PROCESS

Contributions to the History of Concepts (CHOC) is a peer-reviewed journal. Research-based and scholarly articles are sent for review to at least two scholars with relevant experience and expertise. Referees are asked to advise the editors whether the article should be published and if so, with what recommended changes. The editors respond to the author with their decision and a list of any changes needed for the article to be accepted for publication. They may also send the anonymous referees' comments directly to the author.

Manuscripts accepted for publication that do not conform to the [style guide](#) may be returned to the author for amendment. The editors also reserve the right to alter usage to conform to the style guide issued by the publishers. Authors may not supply new materials or request major alterations following the copyediting stage, so they should ensure that all text is final upon acceptance. Contributors of articles and other article-length pieces will receive one free copy of the relevant issue.

While the publishers and the editorial board make every effort to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinions, or statements appear in this journal, they wish to make clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles herein are the sole responsibility of the contributor concerned. Accordingly, the publishers, the editorial board, and editors and their respective employees, officers, and agents accept no responsibility or liability whatsoever for the consequences of any such inaccurate or misleading data, opinions, or statements.

DUTIES OF AUTHORS

All submitted articles should be original works and not concurrently under consideration by any other publication. Authors should not borrow substantially from their own previously published works nor submit manuscripts describing essentially the same research and conclusions in more than one journal or primary publication.

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in their own work. If authors have drawn on the work of others and/or directly quoted the words of others, such works and direct quotations must be appropriately acknowledged and cited in notes and/or listed in the references at the end of the article, according to the style of the individual journal.

An article should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to locate published sources. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

In the event of authors discovering a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the article.

Corresponding Author

The corresponding author is responsible for communicating with the journal for publication and should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the article. All co-authors should have seen and approved the final version of the article and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Acknowledgment of Funding Sources

Sources of funding for research reported in the article should be duly acknowledged. All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript.

DUTIES OF REVIEWERS

Peer review assists the editor(s) and the editorial board in making editorial decisions, while the comments from peer review may also assist the author in improving the article.

Any reviewers who feel unqualified to review the assigned manuscript or unable to provide a prompt review should notify the editor and excuse themselves from the review process.

Manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to, or discussed with, others except as authorized by the editor(s).

Reviews should be conducted based on the article content. There shall be no personal criticism of the author(s). Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that the authors have not cited. Reviewers should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other publication of which they have personal knowledge.

Reviewers should decline review of any manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors.

DUTIES OF EDITORS

The editors and/or guest editors are responsible for deciding which articles submitted to the journal should be published. The editors may be guided by the editorial board's policies, contingent on any legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism.

Manuscripts shall be evaluated solely on their intellectual merit.

The editor(s) and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher.

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used by anyone who has a view of the manuscript while handling it in their own research without the express written consent of the author.